

# **Health Benefits Workstream**

at the Science & Policy Conference on Transitioning Away from Fossil Fuels, Santa Marta, Colombia

**April 24<sup>th</sup> & 25<sup>th</sup>, 2026**

## **Location**

Julio Otero B Auditorium, University of Magdalena

## **Focus**

The Health Benefits workstream focuses on making the human health and health-economic arguments for accelerating fossil fuel phase-out, understanding that communities in the Global South already bear a disproportionate burden of fossil fuel-related harms. It also invites governments to work with members of the health community to build public support and political will for phase-out policies.

## **Purpose**

The purpose of this workstream:

- To create a forum for the health community to summarize the human health and health-economic benefits of rapidly phasing out fossil fuels and transitioning to clean, renewable energy.
- To share the health benefits perspective with participants in other workstreams of the Science & Policy Conference, and more broadly with participants in the High-Level segment of the Transitioning Away from Fossil Fuels Conference.
- To invite and encourage governments and other stakeholders to partner with the health community in building public support and political will for a rapid transition away from fossil fuels.

## **Agenda**

### **Friday April 24<sup>th</sup>**

Participants in this workstream are invited to attend and provide a health perspective in any of the other workstreams (except ISDS, State-owned Enterprises, Multilateralism, and Central Banking, which are closed sessions). The leaders of the following workstreams have especially invited and encouraged health experts to contribute to their morning and/or afternoon sessions: Economics and Data; Fossil Methane; Petrochemicals; Zero Carbon Prosperity.

### **Saturday April 25<sup>th</sup>**

09:30 to 12:30 (Session 1) and 14:00 to 16:30 (Session 2)

Participants are encouraged to attend Session 1, if possible, as it will be the main session for the workstream during the conference. Those who cannot attend Session 1 can attend Session 2; the agenda will be the same for both sessions.

**Important Note:** Participants are also encouraged to carefully review the drafts of the two Action Insight statements prepared by workstream members in advance of the conference. For ease of review, they are included at the conclusion of this agenda.

- **Welcome and brief introductions** (including any key takeaways from your engagement with other workstreams on Friday)
- **Review and, if necessary, propose edits to our workstream's two statements.**
- **Identify additional steps to disseminate our current recommendations** (e.g., prepare them as stand-alone policy briefs; distribute them via global/regional health hubs such as WHO, PAHO, WHO Africa; submit a commentary to the Bulletin of the WHO or another peer-reviewed journal), **and who will take those steps?**
- **Brainstorm the elements of an ideal “national dialogue on the health benefits of fossil fuel phase-out.”** (Objectives? Hosts? Participants? Format? Deliverables?) We hope to put a one-page proposal in the hands of some High-Level conference participants before they meet on April 28th.
- **Time permitting, brainstorm how this workstream can contribute over the next year to advance our current recommendations and propose new ones.** This assumes there will be a follow-up High-Level meeting one year hence in Tuvalu.

## HEALTH BENEFITS WORKSTREAM STATEMENT #1: DRAFT APRIL 6, 2026

***Fossil fuel pollution is a leading cause of avoidable premature death and ill health worldwide, which places an enormous burden on national economies and health systems. The human health and health-economic benefits of a fossil fuel phase-out will accrue rapidly, especially in countries that take the most decisive action.***

**Authors:** Edward Maibach, George Mason University, USA; Ruth Etzel, Georgetown University, USA; Courtney Howard, Global Climate and Health Alliance, Canada; Maria Elena Huertas, POLEN Just Transitions, Colombia; Lwando Maki, African Federation of Public Health Associations, South Africa; Ebba Malmqvist, Lund University, Sweden; Jennifer Pedraza, University of York, UK; Mafoko Phomane, Public Health Association of South Africa, South Africa; Marina Romanello, Lancet Countdown, UK; Caren Solomon, Harvard Medical School, USA; Leah Temper, Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canada; George Thurston, New York University, USA.

### **The current state:**

Air pollution from fossil fuels is one of the world's leading causes of premature death and ill health, which places an enormous health economic burden on every nation (Brauer 2021; Hay 2025; Lelieveld 2019, 2020, 2023; Vohra 2021, 2025). The World Health Organization estimates that aerosol air pollution causes about 7 million premature deaths annually worldwide (WHO 2023), leading to monetary costs and welfare loss of approximately \$8.1 trillion per year, roughly 6 percent of global GDP (World Bank 2022). Additionally, increasingly high levels of CO<sub>2</sub> and other greenhouse gas pollution from fossil fuel extraction, transportation, and combustion also cause serious health harms directly through rising temperatures and indirectly through other effects of climate change including increasingly dangerous storms and flooding, and increases in insect-, food-, and water-borne diseases, food insecurity and malnutrition, and mental health problems. Moreover, the full picture of health harms from fossil fuels is not yet known due to a relative dearth of research on many relevant topics including: health harms from non-combustion aspects of the fossil fuel lifecycle (exploration, extraction, processing, transportation, and disposal); fossil fuel pollution other than air pollution (water and plastic pollution); and non-fatal health harms including lifelong neurodevelopmental consequences for children (including reduced IQ, ADHD, autism: Zhang 2023) and impaired brain health for older adults (including Alzheimer's and other dementias and Parkinson's Disease)—although the existing research strongly suggests that each causes significant health harms and economic burdens. Together, these costs increase the long-term burden of fossil fuel-dependent development pathways.

### **Outcome of actionable insight implementation:**

Unlike the climate benefits from fossil fuel phase-out, which accrue more slowly and distantly, the human health and health economic benefits from clean air accrue rapidly and locally, especially in countries with the worst air pollution and those that take the most decisive action (Romanello, 2022, 2025; Whitmee 2024). Rapidly accruing health benefits include reductions in asthma attacks, cardiovascular events, and associated emergency room visits and hospitalizations, while others unfold over decades—for example, avoidance of cognitive loss associated with exposure to air pollution during pregnancy and childhood (Bell 2025; Karlsson 2020; Markandya 2018; Reis 2022; Rice 2025; Roca-Barcello, 2024).

These health improvements also produce substantial economic benefits. Research shows the economic value of health benefits may exceed the global costs of phase-out, possibly by a wide margin in some countries (Roca-Barcello, 2024; Sampedro 2020, Xie 2026). One study of 145 nations concluded that phase-out costs can be recouped in approximately one year (Jacobson 2022). Fossil fuel phase-out offers a win across many domains—a cleaner environment, less global warming, healthier people and less-strained, more affordable healthcare systems, and enhanced energy security (Rice 2025; Roca-Barcello, 2024; Thurston 2025).

## **Additional Details and Background Information**

The health harms and health-economic costs of the fossil fuel lifecycle (exploration, extraction, processing, transportation, combustion, disposal) are often incompletely measured, if measured at all. Even when the health harms and their associated economic costs are known, they are rarely taken into account in energy policy decisions. Accounting for fossil fuels' health harms and associated economic costs, indexing the many health benefits of decarbonization, and making international, national, and subnational policymakers and program managers aware of this information is crucial for guiding energy policy and programmatic decisions. This evidence reveals substantial human health and economic advantages of clean energy over fossil fuel energy (Jacobson 2022; Xie 2026). More fully accounting for and publicizing these health and related economic costs and benefits will also help build public support for a fossil fuel phase-out and may more fully activate the health community to advocate for a phase-out. Advocacy by health professionals and health organizations can play an important role worldwide in building the necessary political will for fossil fuel phase-out (Chan 2026; Desandi 2022; Kotcher 2021, 2023; Their 2025; Uppalapati 2026).

Policymakers should direct public health scientists (including epidemiologists and biostatisticians) and health economists to prioritize collecting, synthesizing, and publicizing data on the health impacts and health economic costs of the fossil fuel lifecycle and the benefits of phase-out in their nation, including the health gains and associated economic benefits from reduced fossil fuel pollution that some nations are already experiencing. In turn, policymakers should communicate these benefits to the public and other key stakeholders, encouraging further ambition for fossil fuel phase-out and protecting human and planetary health more broadly—including in their Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement (Beagley, 2025). Only a small proportion of nations (about 10%) currently highlight the health and health economic benefits of decarbonization actions in their NDCs (WHO 2023). Every nation should initiate a process in which relevant experts—for example, in public health and medicine, electricity generation, transportation, agriculture, and the built environment—lead a public dialogue and develop an assessment framework to identify national decarbonization pathways that maximize human health, well-being, and prosperity.

## **Citations**

Anenberg, SC, Henze, DK, Tinney, V, Kinney, PL, Raich, W, Fann, N, Malley, CS, Roman, H, Lamsal, L, Duncan, B, Martin, RV, Van Donkelaar, A, Brauer, M, Doherty, R, Jonson, JE, Davila, Y, Sudo, K & Kuylenstierna, JCI. **Estimates of the Global Burden of Ambient**

**PM2.5, Ozone, and NO2 on Asthma Incidence and Emergency Room Visits**, Environmental Health Perspectives, 2018, vol. 126, no. 10, pp. 107004.  
<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP3766>

Beagly J, Haines, A. **Governments should show climate and health ambition in their nationally determined contributions**. *BMJ* 2025;391:r2217

Bell ML, Gillingham KT. **The health impacts of transitioning away from fossil fuel toward cleaner energy**. *Annual review of public health*. 2025 Apr 4;46(1):315-30.

Brauer, Michael et al. **Global burden and strength of evidence for 88 risk factors in 204 countries and 811 subnational locations, 1990–2021: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021**. *Lancet*, Volume 403, Issue 10440, 2162 - 2203

Chan, F. et al. (Rachel W S Koh, Steve H L Yim, Benjamin P Horton, Konstadina Griv). **Communicating the health implications of global environmental change: a mixed-methods systematic review of health framing in environmental messaging**, *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*, Volume 60, Issue 1, 2026, kaag002,  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaag002>

Dasandi, N., Graham, H., Hudson, D. et al. **Positive, global, and health or environment framing bolsters public support for climate policies**. *Commun Earth Environ* 3, 239 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00571-x>

Garcia-Gonzales, DA, et al (Seth B.C. Shonkoff, Jake Hays, Michael Jerrett.) 2019. **Hazardous Air Pollutants Associated with Upstream Oil and Natural Gas Development: A Critical Synthesis of Current Peer-Reviewed Literature**. *Annual Review Public Health*. 40:283-304. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040218-043715>

Global Climate and Health Alliance (GCHA). **Cradle to Grave: The health toll of fossil fuels and the Imperative for a Just Transition (2025)**.  
<https://climateandhealthalliance.org/cradle-to-grave-the-health-toll-of-fossil-fuels-and-the-imperative-for-a-just-transition-2nd-edition/>

Hay S, Ong K, Santomauro D et al. **Burden of 375 diseases and injuries, risk-attributable burden of 88 risk factors, and healthy life expectancy in 204 countries and territories, including 660 subnational locations, 1990–2023: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2023** *The Lancet*, 2025; 406, 1873-1922

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. **Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change** (eds. Shukla, P. R. et al.) (Cambridge Univ.Press, 2022).

Jacobson, M. Z. et al. **Low-cost solutions to global warming, air pollution, and energy insecurity for 145 countries**. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 15, 3343–3359 (2022).

Karlsson, M., Alfredsson, E., & Westling, N. (2020). **Climate policy co-benefits: a review.** *Climate Policy*, 20(3), 292–316.

Kotcher, J., Feldman, L., Luong, K., Wyatt, J., Maibach, E. (2021). **Advocacy messages about climate and health are more effective when they include information about risks, solutions and a normative appeal: Evidence from a conjoint experiment.** *Journal of Climate Change and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2021.100030>

Kotcher, J., Luong, K., Gould, R., Charles, J., Maibach, E. (2023). **Health professionals calling attention to opponents of climate action improves the effectiveness of climate and health messages.** *Lancet Planetary Health*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(23\)00217-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00217-6)

Lelieveld J, Klingmuller K, Pozzer A, et al. **Effects of fossil fuel and total anthropogenic emissions removal on public health and climate.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2019; 116: 7192-7197. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30910976/>

Lelieveld, J et al. **Loss of life expectancy from air pollution compared to other risk factors: a worldwide perspective.** *Cardiovasc Res*, 2020; 116: 1910–1917.

Lelieveld J, Haines A, Burnett R, Tonne C, Klingmüller K, Münzel T, Pozzer A. **Air pollution deaths attributable to fossil fuels: observational and modelling study.** *BMJ*. 2023 Nov 29;383:e077784. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2023-077784. PMID: 38030155; PMCID: PMC10686100.

Markandya A, Sampedro J, Smith SJ, et al. **Health co-benefits from air pollution and mitigation costs of the Paris Agreement: a modelling study.** *Lancet Planet Health*. 2018;2:e126–e133.

Münzel, T, et al. **A comprehensive review/expert statement on environmental risk factors of cardiovascular disease,** *Cardiovascular Research*, Volume 121, Issue 11, September 2025, Pages 1653–1678, <https://doi.org/10.1093/cvr/cvaf119>

Physicians for Social Responsibility. **Fueling Sickness: The Hidden Health Effects of Fossil Fuel Pollution.** (2025) <https://psr.org/issues/environment-health/fueling-sickness/>

Physicians, Scientists, and Engineers for Healthy Energy (PSEHE) (2021) **Methane and Health-Damaging Air Pollutants From the Oil and Gas Sector: Bridging 10 Years of Scientific Understanding.** [https://www.psehealthyenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Full-Report\\_Bridging-10-Years-of-Scientific-Understanding.pdf](https://www.psehealthyenergy.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/Full-Report_Bridging-10-Years-of-Scientific-Understanding.pdf)

Reis, Lara Aleluia et al. **Internalising health-economic impacts of air pollution into climate policy: a global modelling study.** *Lancet Planetary Health*, 2022, Volume 6, Issue 1, e40 - e48

Rice MB, Thurston GD, Flanigan SS, Kerry VB, Robinson LA, Yu W, Malmqvist E. **Health and economic benefits of energy, urban planning, and food interventions that lower greenhouse gas emissions.** *Environ Epidemiol*. 2025 Jul 1;9(4):e404. doi: 10.1097/EE9.0000000000000404. PMID: 40606056; PMCID: PMC12221130

Roca-Barceló A, Rice MB, Nunez Y, Thurston G, Weinmayr G, Straif K, Roscoe C, Ebi KL, Andersen ZJ, de Nazelle A, Negev M. **Climate action has valuable health benefits.** *Environ Epidemiol.* 2024 Jan 12;8(1):e288. doi: 10.1097/EE9.000000000000288. PMID: 38343737; PMCID: PMC10852391.

Romanello, Marina et al. **The 2022 report of the *Lancet* Countdown on health and climate change: health at the mercy of fossil fuels.** *Lancet*, Volume 400, Issue 10363, 1619 - 1654

Romanello, Marina et al. **The 2025 report of the *Lancet* Countdown on health and climate change: climate change action offers a lifeline.** *The Lancet*, Volume 406, Issue 10521, 2804 - 2857

Sampedro, J. et al. (Steven J. Smith, Iñaki Arto, Mikel González-Eguino, Anil Markandya, Kathleen M. Mulvaney, Cristina Pizarro-Irizar, Rita Van Dingenen). **Health co-benefits and mitigation costs as per the Paris Agreement under different technological pathways for energy supply.** *Environment International*, Volume 136, 2020, 105513, ISSN 0160-4120, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105513>.

Thier, K. et al. (Eryn Campbell, Sri Saahitya Uppalapati, John Kotcher, Edward Maibach). **The impact of public health messages on audience engagement and collective climate action.** *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, Volume 64, 2025, 101539, SSN 2352-1546, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2025.101539>.

Thurston GD, Andersen ZJ, Belesova K, Cromar KR, Ebi KL, Lumsden C, de Nazelle A, Nieuwenhuijsen M, Soares da Silva A, Teixidó O, Rice MB. **Transitioning from climate ambitions to climate actions through public health policy initiatives.** *Environ Epidemiol.* 2025 Mar 6;9(2)

Tong, D., Geng, G., Zhang, Q., Cheng, J., Qin, X., Hong, C., ... & Davis, S. J. (2021). **Health co-benefits of climate change mitigation depend on strategic power plant retirements and pollution controls.** *Nature Climate Change*, 11(12), 1077-1083

Uppalapati, S.S., Campbell, E., Kotcher, J. et al. **Public Engagement with Climate Change and Health: A Global Literature Review.** *EcoHealth* 23, 58–70 (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-025-01756-4>

Vohra K, Vodonos A, Schwartz J, Marais EA, Sulprizio MP, Mickley LJ. **Global mortality from outdoor fine particle pollution generated by fossil fuel combustion: Results from GEOS-Chem.** *Environ Res.* 2021 Apr;195:110754. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2021.110754. Epub 2021 Feb 9. PMID: 33577774.

Vohra K et al. **The health burden and racial-ethnic disparities of air pollution from the major oil and gas lifecycle stages in the United States.** *Sci. Adv.* 11, eadu2241 (2025). DOI:10.1126/sciadv.adu2241

Whitmee, Sarah et al. **Pathways to a healthy net-zero future: report of the *Lancet* Pathfinder Commission.** *The Lancet*, Volume 403, Issue 10421, 67 - 110

World Bank. 2022. **The Global Health Cost of PM2.5 Air Pollution: A Case for Action Beyond 2021.** *International Development in Focus*; © World Bank.

<http://hdl.handle.net/10986/36501> License: [CC BY 3.0 IGO](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/). [Globally, the World Bank estimated the cost of inaction on air pollution in 2019 to be \$8.1 trillion, representing 6.1% of global GDP.]

World Health Organization. **2023 WHO review of health in Nationally Determined Contributions and long-term strategies: health at the heart of the Paris Agreement.** Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.

Xie, Y., Wu, Y., Zhao, M. *et al.* **Accelerated attainment of global air quality standards with disproportional health co-benefits under the 1.5 °C target.** *Nat Commun* 17, 579 (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-025-67276-5>

Zhang, Y. et al. **The impact of fossil fuel combustion on children's health and the associated losses of human capital.** *Global Transitions*, Volume 5, 2023, Pages 117-124.

## **HEALTH BENEFITS WORKSTREAM STATEMENT #2: DRAFT April 6, 2026**

**Efforts to build public support and political will for a phase-out should emphasize health and feature a wide range of trusted health professionals.**

**Authors:** Edward Maibach, George Mason University, USA; Ruth Etzel, Georgetown University, USA; Courtney Howard, Global Climate and Health Alliance, Canada; Lwando Maki, African Federation of Public Health Associations, South Africa; Ebba Malmqvist, Lund University, Sweden; Jennifer Pedraza, University of York, UK; Mafoko Phomane, Public Health Association of South Africa, South Africa; Marina Romanello, Lancet Countdown, UK; Caren Solomon, Harvard Medical School, USA; Leah Temper, Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, Canada.

### **The current state:**

Public support for phasing out fossil fuels is strong, although support levels vary considerably between nations: approximately three-quarters of people in much of the world support their country rapidly phasing out fossil fuels (IPSOS 2022, UNDP 2024), although support may be lower in Australia, China, Saudi Arabia, and some European and North countries (Globescan 2025). More generally, over two-thirds say responding to climate change should be a high or very high priority for their government (Leiserowitz 2023). The strength of public support is not necessarily politically decisive, however. It matters most when the issue is electorally salient (influences people's votes), proposed policies are credible and visible, support for the policies is strong (not only widespread), and trusted policy advocates actively counterbalance opponents to the proposed policies (Burstein 2003). Many of these conditions are not currently sufficiently present in most nations.

### **Outcome of actionable insight implementation:**

Making the health case for fossil fuel phase-out has high potential to strengthen public support and political will for the phase-out. Fossil fuel pollution is the leading cause of avoidable morbidity and mortality worldwide, placing an enormous burden on every nation's economy (WHO 2023, World Bank 2022). Moreover, the human health and health-economic benefits of a fossil fuel phase-out accrue rapidly, especially in countries that take the most decisive action (Romanello, 2022, 2025; Whitmee 2024). Research conducted in several nations has shown that communicating the potential to improve health is one of the most effective strategies for increasing support for climate policy (Chan 2026; Desandi 2022; Goldwert 2026; Their 2025; Uppalapati 2026). As one of the—if not the—most trusted categories of professionals in nearly every nation (Edelman Trust Institute 2024; Ipsos 2024, 2025), health professionals (doctors, nurses, etc.) are ideal spokespeople to make the case for phase-out, bringing congruence between the message and the messengers (Maibach 2021, 2024; Temme 2026). Moreover, large numbers of health professionals (Kotcher 2021; Lee 2021) and more than 200 health organizations worldwide (GCHA undated) are interested in advocating for climate solutions, and many are already doing so. Efforts to build public support and political will for the fossil fuel phase-out should therefore heavily emphasize health and platform a wide range of trusted health professionals (Campbell 2025).

### **Additional Details and Background Information**

Historically, the efforts to build public support and political will for—and against—climate action have been led primarily by scientists and scientific societies, environmental activists and organizations, political parties and politicians, and self-interested corporations and industry associations (especially the fossil fuel industry, which has nearly limitless financial resources with which to communicate its case against fossil fuel phase-out). Although proponents of climate action have been successful in building public concern about climate change and strong public support for a fossil fuel phase-out, opponents have been more effective at building the political will necessary to sustain the fossil fuel industry’s status quo.

Health professionals and organizations have the potential to change this dynamic by communicating the health case for phase-out, including the health harms of fossil fuels and climate change, the health benefits of decarbonization, and the existing social norm that most people in most nations worldwide favor phase-out (Chan 2026; Desandi 2022; Kotcher 2021, 2024; Their 2025; Uppalapati 2026). Moreover, and importantly, when health professionals call attention to those who oppose climate action and a fossil fuel phase-out (i.e., fossil fuel CEOs and lobbyists who have long used deception and influence-peddling to oppose climate solutions), this strengthens public trust in the health professionals making the case for climate action (Kotcher 2023). Lastly, as credible voices in the health community become more vocal in making the health case for fossil fuel phase-out, additional members of the health community are likely to join in efforts to advocate for a phase-out (Lee 2021).

An evidence-based formula for effective public communication is “simple clear messages, repeated often, by a variety of trusted and caring voices” (Maibach 2023). The health community has simple, clear messages that have been proven effective at building support for phase-out, and it has myriad trusted, caring voices worldwide. For their messages and voices to be politically decisive, however, those voices must achieve higher levels of reach and frequency—i.e., message repetition (Ettinger, 2025; Peters 2022; Smith 2025). The health community should be supported in its efforts to communicate the health imperative of a fossil fuel phase-out. For far too long, the fossil fuel industry has used its financial resources to create and amplify voices in the media whose messages advocate climate denial and delay. What the world needs now is to use those same communication tools to make the health case for phase-out.

## Citations

Burstein, Paul (2003) **The Impact of Public Opinion on Public Policy: A Review and an Agenda**. *Political Research Quarterly*, 56(1), 29–40.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/106591290305600103>

Campbell, E., Uppalapati, S., Kotcher, J., Thier, K., Ansah, P., Gour, N., Maibach, E. (2025). **Activating health professionals as climate change and health communicators and advocates: A review**. *Environmental Research: Health*. 3 012002 DOI 10.1088/2752-5309/ada791

Chan, F. et al. (Rachel W S Koh, Steve H L Yim, Benjamin P Horton, Konstadina Griv). **Communicating the health implications of global environmental change: a mixed-methods systematic review of health framing in environmental messaging**, *Annals of*

*Behavioral Medicine*, Volume 60, Issue 1, 2026, kaag002,  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/abm/kaag002>

Dasandi, N., Graham, H., Hudson, D. *et al.* **Positive, global, and health or environment framing bolsters public support for climate policies.** *Commun Earth Environ* **3**, 239 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00571-x>

Dasandi, Niheer *et al.* **Public engagement with health and climate change around the world: a Google Trends analysis.** *The Lancet Planetary Health*, Volume 9, Issue 3, e236 - e244

Edleman Trust Institute. 2024 Edelman Trust Barometer Special Report: Trust and Health.  
[https://www.edelman.com/sites/g/files/aatuss191/files/2024-04/2024%20Edelman%20Trust%20Barometer%20Trust%20and%20Health\\_1.pdf](https://www.edelman.com/sites/g/files/aatuss191/files/2024-04/2024%20Edelman%20Trust%20Barometer%20Trust%20and%20Health_1.pdf)

Ettinger, J., Fine, J., Badullovich, N., Thier, K., Kotcher, J., Maibach, E. (2025). **Communicating with policymakers about climate change, health, and their intersection: A scoping review.** *Lancet Planetary Health*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(24\)00307-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(24)00307-3)

Global Climate and Health Alliance (GCHA). Undated.  
<https://climateandhealthalliance.org/who-we-are/>

Globescan. Strong global support for renewable energy despite COP30 statemate. November 2025. <https://globescan.com/2025/11/26/insight-of-the-week-cop30-renewable-energy-support/>

Goldwert, D. *et al.* (Sara M Constantino, Yash Patel, Anandita Sabherwal, Christoph Semken, Cameron Brick, Anna Castiglione, Ramit Debnath, Kimberly C Doell, Rachit Dubey, Ke Fang, Matthew H Goldberg, Wei Ji Ma, Kristian S Nielsen, Steve Rathje, Claudia R Schneider, Michael Sheldrick, Ganga Shreedhar, Sander van der Linden, Janquel Acevedo, Raihan Alam, Mélusine Boon-Falleur, Ondrej Buchel, Xinghui Chen, Patricia W Cheng, Adrien Fabre, Matthew Feinberg, Joris Frese, Kylie Fuller, Marvin Helferich, Jaroslaw Kantorowicz, Elena Kantorowicz-Reznichenko, Allen Kim, Joan J H Kim, Junho Lee, Artur Marchewka, Matto Mildenberger, Adam Pearson, John-Henry Pezzuto, Veronica Pizziol, Marjorie Prokosch, John Protzko, Guilherme A Ramos, Willow Rose, David K Sherman, Emma Swanson, Stylianos Syropoulos, Alessandro Tavoni, Maximilian H Thiel, Leaf Van Boven, Robb Willer, Tao Yang, Dominika Zaremba, Madalina Vlasceanu). **A megastudy of behavioral interventions to catalyze public, political, and financial climate advocacy,** *PNAS Nexus*, Volume 5, Issue 1, January 2026, pgaf400,  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/pnasnexus/pgaf400>

Kotcher, J., Luong, K., Gould, R., Charles, J., Maibach, E. (2023). **Health professionals calling attention to opponents of climate action improves the effectiveness of climate and health messages.** *Lancet Planetary Health*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(23\)00217-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00217-6)

Kotcher, J., Feldman, L., Luong, K., Wyatt, J., Maibach, E. (2021). **Advocacy messages about climate and health are more effective when they include information about**

**risks, solutions and a normative appeal: Evidence from a conjoint experiment.** *Journal of Climate Change and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2021.100030>

Kotcher, J., Maibach, E., Miller, J., Campbell, E., Alqodmani, L., Maiero, M., Wynns, A. (2021) **Views of health professionals on climate change and health: A multi-national survey study.** *Lancet Planetary Health*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(21\)00053-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(21)00053-X)

Kotcher, J. **How a health narrative can enhance communication of six key truths about climate change with diverse audiences.** 2024. Building Resilient Communities Project. [https://spacescience.org/edu/reports/Kotcher\\_BR\\_FINAL2.pdf](https://spacescience.org/edu/reports/Kotcher_BR_FINAL2.pdf)

Ipsos. **Ipsos Veracity Index 2025.** <https://www.ipsos.com/en-uk/ipsos-veracity-index-2025>

Ipsos. **Trust is on the rise for many professions.** 2024. <https://www.ipsos.com/en-us/ipsos-global-trustworthiness-index-2024>

Ipsos and World Economic Forum. **Global consumers support shift from fossil fuels as the expect spike in energy prices to reduce their purchasing power.** March 2022. <https://www.ipsos.com/sites/default/files/ct/news/documents/2022-03/WEF-Ipsos%20GA%20Sustainable%20Energy%20-%20Global%20PR.pdf>

Lee, H., Pagano, I., Kotcher, J., Campbell, E., Borth, A., Hubbert, B., Maibach, E. (2021). **Health Professional's Willingness to Advocate for Strengthening Global Commitments to the Paris Climate Agreement: Findings from a Multi-Nation Survey.** *Journal of Climate Change and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joclim.2021.100016>

Leiserowitz, A., Verner, M., Goddard, E., Wood, E., Carman, J., Ordaz Reynoso, N., Thulin, E., Rosenthal, S., Marlon, J. & Buttermore, N. (2023). **International Public Opinion on Climate Change, 2023.** New Haven, CT: Yale Program on Climate Change Communication and Data for Good at Meta.

Maibach, E., Frumkin, H. & Ahdoot, S. (2021). **Health professionals and the climate crisis: Trusted voices, essential roles.** *World Medical and Health Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1002whm3.421>

Maibach, E., Uppalapati, S., Orr, M., Thaker, J. (2023). **Harnessing the power of communication and behavior science to enhance society's response to climate change.** *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Science*. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-earth-031621-114417

Maibach, E. (2024). **Accelerating the end of the fossil fuel era: A human and planetary health imperative and opportunity.** *Frontiers in Science*. [doi.org/10.3389/fsci.2024.1383921](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsci.2024.1383921)

Maibach, E., Kotcher, J., Patel, L. (2024) **We can use our superpower to help end fossil fuel pollution and rise to the challenge of climate change.** *Journal of Communication in Health Care*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17538068.2024.2357949>.

Peters, E. et al (Patrick Boyd, Linda D Cameron, Noshir Contractor, Michael A Diefenbach, Sara Fleszar-Pavlovic, Ezra Markowitz, Renee N Salas, Keri K Stephens). **Evidence-based recommendations for communicating the impacts of climate change on health**, *Translational Behavioral Medicine*, Volume 12, Issue 4, April 2022, Pages 543–553, <https://doi.org/10.1093/tbm/ibac029>

Romanello, Marina et al. **The 2022 report of the *Lancet* Countdown on health and climate change: health at the mercy of fossil fuels**. *Lancet*, Volume 400, Issue 10363, 1619 - 1654

Romanello, Marina et al. **The 2025 report of the *Lancet* Countdown on health and climate change: climate change action offers a lifeline**. *The Lancet*, Volume 406, Issue 10521, 2804 - 2857

Smith, R., Chen, K., Winner, D., & Friedhoff, S. (2025). **The Climate-Health Communication Gap: Connecting the Dots to Drive Climate Health Action**. *Health Communication*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10410236.2025.2576158>

Temme, H., Jenny, M., Geiger, M., Lehrer, L., Betsch, C., **Communicating Planetary Health: A Mixed-Methods Study on Trust in Communicators in the Climate and Health Domains**. 2026. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=6140430> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.6140430>

Thier, K. et al. (Eryn Campbell, Sri Saahitya Uppalapati, John Kotcher, Edward Maibach). **The impact of public health messages on audience engagement and collective climate action**. *Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences*, Volume 64, 2025, 101539, SSN 2352-1546, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2025.101539>.

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and University of Oxford. **Peoples' Climate Vote 2024**. <https://www.undp.org/publications/peoples-climate-vote-2024>

Uppalapati, S.S., Campbell, E., Kotcher, J. *et al.* **Public Engagement with Climate Change and Health: A Global Literature Review**. *EcoHealth* **23**, 58–70 (2026). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10393-025-01756-4>

Whitmee, Sarah et al. **Pathways to a healthy net-zero future: report of the *Lancet* Pathfinder Commission**. *The Lancet*, Volume 403, Issue 10421, 67 - 110

World Bank. 2022. **The Global Health Cost of PM2.5 Air Pollution: A Case for Action Beyond 2021**. International Development in Focus;. © World Bank. <http://hdl.handle.net/10986/36501> License: [CC BY 3.0 IGO](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).

World Health Organization. **2023 WHO review of health in Nationally Determined Contributions and long-term strategies: health at the heart of the Paris Agreement**. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023. Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.